

The geometry of Markov traces

BEN WEBSTER

Department of Mathematics,
University of Oregon

GEORDIE WILLIAMSON

Mathematical Institute,
University of Oxford

Email: bwebster@math.mit.edu
geordie.williamson@maths.ox.ac.uk

Abstract. We give a geometric interpretation of the Jones-Ocneanu trace on the Hecke algebra, using the equivariant cohomology of sheaves on SL_n . This construction makes sense for all simple algebraic groups, so we obtain a generalization of the Jones-Ocneanu trace to Hecke algebras of other types. We give a geometric expansion of this trace in terms of the irreducible characters of the Hecke algebra, and conclude that it agrees with a trace defined independently by Gomi.

Based on our proof, we also prove that certain simple perverse sheaves on a reductive algebraic group G are equivariantly formal for the conjugation action of a Borel B , or equivalently, that the Hochschild homology of any Soergel bimodule is free, as the authors had previously conjectured.

This construction is closely tied to knot homology. This interpretation of the Jones-Ocneanu trace is a more elementary manifestation of the geometric construction of HOMFLYPT homology given by the authors in [WW].

1. Introduction. The mid 1980's saw a remarkable burst of knot invariants appearing from surprising directions; one of the foremost was the HOMFLYPT polynomial, independently discovered by several groups of researchers (see [FYH⁺85, PT88]). In [Jon87] this invariant was then interpreted by Jones in terms of a trace, now known as the **Jones-Ocneanu trace**, on the Hecke algebra H_n . In this original paper, this trace is constructed using an inductive procedure, but Jones asks whether it has some direct interpretation in terms of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of [KL79].

In this paper, we describe a relationship between this trace on a Hecke algebra and the geometry of the special linear group G , which passes through the “Hecke category” of certain equivariant sheaves on G . Since the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis can also be defined in terms of the Hecke category, this provides an answer to Jones’ question:

Theorem. *The Jones-Ocneanu trace applied to the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis element C'_w of a permutation w is the mixed Poincaré polynomial of the B_Δ -equivariant intersection cohomology of the Bruhat variety $\overline{BwB}$. Equivalently, it is the bigraded dimension of the Hochschild homology of an indecomposable Soergel bimodule S_w .*

Here, B denotes the subgroup of upper triangular matrices of G and B_Δ denotes that subgroup acting by conjugation on G .

This geometric construction works for reductive algebraic groups of all types, providing a natural two-variable trace on the Hecke algebra associated to any Dynkin diagram. Let Γ be a Dynkin diagram, G the corresponding split adjoint group over $\mathbb{F}_q$, B a Borel subgroup, W_Γ its Weyl group and H_Γ the Hecke algebra of W .

Definition 1. For any Dynkin diagram Γ , we define the trace $\mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma : \mathbf{H}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(q^{1/2})[t]$ to be the unique linear map sending a Kazhdan-Lusztig basis vector for $w \in W_\Gamma$ to the mixed Poincaré polynomial of the B_Δ -equivariant intersection cohomology of the Bruhat variety $\overline{BwB}$.

Generalizing the Jones-Ocneanu trace to other types has been an active avenue of research for some years. Traces satisfying a Markov-type condition on Hecke algebras of classical type were classified by Geck and Geck-Lambropoulou [Gec98, GL97], but such traces form an infinite dimensional vector space. Gomi [Gom06] constructed a special trace on the Hecke algebra of any finite type Coxeter group (even non-crystallographic). He constructs his trace by giving an explicit expression in terms of the irreducible characters of the Hecke algebra, and shows that it satisfies a strong Markov condition via case-by-case analysis.

Using the connection between the Hecke category on G and the theory of character sheaves, we prove our main result (for more precise statements see Theorem 6 and Corollary 13).

Theorem. The geometrically defined trace Tr_Γ satisfies the following properties:

- It satisfies a Markov condition analogous to that of the Jones-Ocneanu trace.
- For Weyl groups, it coincides with the trace defined by Gomi [Gom06].
- Its expansion in terms of simple characters is given explicitly in terms of Molien series and Lusztig's Fourier transform.

This gives a geometric interpretation of Gomi's formula. In fact, the decomposition of Tr_Γ on a Kazhdan-Lusztig basis element into "almost characters" of the Hecke algebra (the Fourier transform of the irreducible characters) is categorified by a decomposition of the B_Δ -equivariant intersection cohomology of $\overline{BwB}$ into summands whose mixed Poincaré series are given by Molien series.

In the course of our proof, we must show the following result, which is of some independent interest:

Theorem. Any simple $B \times B$ -equivariant perverse sheaf on G is B_Δ -equivariantly formal. Equivalently, the Hochschild homology of any Soergel bimodule is free.

This statement was previously proved in type A by Rasmussen [Ras, Proposition 4.6] using an inductive calculation (similar to Jones's construction of the trace), and conjectured for all types by the authors. We give an independent, purely geometric proof using Lusztig's work on parabolic induction and restriction functors for character sheaves.

Just as this trace is intimately tied to the HOMFLYPT polynomial as described in [Jon87, FYH⁺85], its categorification is connected to the triply graded link homology of Khovanov and Rozansky [Kho07, KR08, Ras]. The main theorem of this paper in the case of type A is essentially equivalent to the fact that this knot homology is a knot invariant categorifying the HOMFLYPT polynomial (proven by Khovanov-Rozansky in [KR08]).

However, we provide a description of the categorified trace on the Hecke algebra from first principles, separate from knot theory. The authors relate this description to knot theory in a separate paper [WW], which describes a geometric construction of

colored HOMFLYPT homology, a knot homology theory whose construction had been proposed by Mackaay, Stošić and Vaz [MSV].

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Jean Michel for explaining Gomi's trace to us and asking if there is a relation with the Hochschild homology of Soergel bimodules. We would also like to thank Roman Bezrukavnikov, Victor Ginzburg, Hailong Dao and Raphaël Rouquier for useful conversations and Jim Humphreys for comments on a previous version of this paper. BW was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship and NSA Grant H98230-10-1-0199. GW was supported by an EPSRC Postdoctoral Fellowship.

2. Hecke algebras. Let Γ be a Dynkin diagram. Attached to this Dynkin diagram, we have:

- An **Artin braid group** $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma$, which is generated by symbols σ_i corresponding to vertices i of Γ , with the relations

$$\underbrace{\sigma_i \sigma_j \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ terms}} = \underbrace{\sigma_j \sigma_i \cdots}_{m_{ij} \text{ terms}}$$

where m_{ij} is the Coxeter matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ij} &= 2 & \text{if } \alpha_i^\vee(\alpha_j) \cdot \alpha_j^\vee(\alpha_i) = 0, & & m_{ij} &= 3 & \text{if } \alpha_i^\vee(\alpha_j) \cdot \alpha_j^\vee(\alpha_i) = 1, \\ m_{ij} &= 4 & \text{if } \alpha_i^\vee(\alpha_j) \cdot \alpha_j^\vee(\alpha_i) = 2, & & m_{ij} &= 6 & \text{if } \alpha_i^\vee(\alpha_j) \cdot \alpha_j^\vee(\alpha_i) = 3. \end{aligned}$$

- A **Coxeter group** W_Γ obtained as the quotient of $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma$ by the relation $\sigma_i^2 = 1$, which is the Weyl group of the associated complex semi-simple Lie algebra. We use s_i to denote the image of σ_i in W_Γ .
- A **Hecke algebra** $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$, which is the quotient of the group algebra of the braid group $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma$ over $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$ by the relations

$$(\sigma_i - q^{1/2})(\sigma_i + q^{-1/2}) = 0.$$

This is a “deformation” of the relation $\sigma_i^2 = 1$, and the resulting algebra is a flat deformation of the group algebra of W_Γ . In fact, there is a “standard” basis σ_w of $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$ where the basis elements are labeled by $w \in W_\Gamma$, which limits to the standard basis on the group algebra.

Throughout we allow the empty Dynkin diagram $\emptyset$. By convention $\mathcal{B}_\Gamma = W_\Gamma$ is the trivial group and $\mathbf{H}(\emptyset) = \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$.

Given an inclusion of Dynkin diagrams $\Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$, then there is an induced map for each of the algebraic objects listed above. In particular, for each infinite series of classical groups X_n , where $X = A, B, C, D$, we have a tower of natural inclusions $\iota_n : \mathbf{H}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}(X_{n+1})$ for $n \geq 0$. In all types other than A , this inclusion is unambiguous. In type A_n , we label all vertices with the integers $1, \dots, n$ from left to right, and take the inclusion which preserves the labeling of generators.

We first consider the case of the A_n Dynkin diagrams. We set $\mathbf{H}_n = \mathbf{H}(A_n)$. By work of Ocneanu and Jones [Jon87], it follows that the algebras $\mathbf{H}_n$ carry a unique system of


 FIGURE 1. The type B and D Dynkin diagrams

traces¹ Tr_n with values in $\mathbb{Z}((q^{1/2}))[t]$ normalized by the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_0(1) &= 1 & \text{Tr}_n(\sigma_n \iota_{n-1}(a)) &= -t \cdot \text{Tr}_{n-1}(a) \\ \text{Tr}_n(\iota_{n-1}(a)) &= \frac{1 + q^{1/2}t}{1 - q} \cdot \text{Tr}_{n-1}(a) & \text{Tr}_n(\sigma_n^{-1} \iota_{n-1}(a)) &= q^{1/2} \cdot \text{Tr}_{n-1}(a). \end{aligned}$$

It is this trace and its generalizations which interest us.

The generalization of this theorem is due to Geck and Lambropoulou [GL97], but one must give more information to obtain a uniqueness statement.

We use the labelings of the B_n and D_n Dynkin diagrams shown in Figure 1. We let

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n-1} &= \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} \cdots \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1} \cdots \sigma_n^{-1} \in \mathbf{H}(B_n) \\ U_{2n-1} &= \sigma_{2n} \cdots \sigma_3 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{2n}^{-1} \in \mathbf{H}(D_{2n}). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2. For each scalar $y \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}, t]$, let Tr_n^y be the unique system of traces on the algebras $\mathbf{H}(X_n)$ where $X_n = A_n, B_n, C_n$ or D_n such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_0^y(1) &= 1 & \text{Tr}_n^y(\sigma_n \iota_{n-1}(a)) &= -t \cdot \text{Tr}_{n-1}^y(a) \\ \text{Tr}_n^y(\iota_{n-1}(a)) &= \frac{1 + q^{1/2}t}{1 - q} \cdot \text{Tr}_{n-1}^y(a) & \text{Tr}_n^y(\sigma_n^{-1} \iota_{n-1}(a)) &= q^{1/2} \cdot \text{Tr}_{n-1}^y(a) \\ \text{Tr}_n^y(T_{n-1} \iota_{n-1}(a)) &= y \cdot \text{Tr}_{n-1}^y(a) & & \text{if } X = B, C \\ \text{Tr}_{2n}^y(U_{2n-1} \iota_{2n-1}(a)) &= y \cdot \text{Tr}_{2n-1}^y(a) & & \text{if } X = D \end{aligned}$$

(recall that by convention $\mathbf{H}_0 = \mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$.)

The existence and uniqueness of such a trace was proved by Geck and Lambropoulou [Gec98, GL97].

Remark 1. This is a stronger notion of a Markov trace than used by Geck-Lambropoulou, and stronger than necessary for topological purposes, but our trace will be of this form.

3. Hecke algebras and geometry. Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ denote a finite field with q elements and let G denote a split semi-simple algebraic group over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with fixed split maximal torus T and Borel subgroup B . If Γ denotes the Dynkin diagram of the root system of G , then we may canonically identify W_Γ with the Weyl group of G . Given any $w \in W_\Gamma$ we denote by $\dot{w}$ a representative in G for $w \in W_\Gamma$. Consider the corresponding Bruhat decomposition

$$G(\mathbb{F}_q) = \bigsqcup_{w \in W_\Gamma} B(\mathbb{F}_q) \cdot \dot{w} \cdot B(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

¹ Recall that if B is an A -algebra (for a A a commutative ring), and M an A -module, then a **trace** on B with values in M is a map $\phi : B \rightarrow M$ of A -modules such that $\phi(b_1 b_2) = \phi(b_2 b_1)$ for all $b_1, b_2 \in B$.

A classical theorem of Iwahori identifies the convolution algebra of $B(\mathbb{F}_q) \times B(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -invariant $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions on $G(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$, where $q^{1/2}$ is specialized at a fixed square root of $|\mathbb{F}_q| \in \mathbb{C}$. Under this identification the element $\sigma_i \in H_\Gamma$ corresponds to the characteristic function of the orbit $B(\mathbb{F}_q) \cdot s_i \cdot B(\mathbb{F}_q)$ multiplied by $q^{-1/2}$.

The fact that the Hecke algebra arises as a convolution algebra suggests a natural categorification using Grothendieck's function-sheaf dictionary. Fix a prime ℓ different from the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_q$ and let $\mathbb{k}$ denote an algebraic closure of the field of ℓ -adic numbers.

We denote by $D_{B \times B}^b(G)$ the bounded $B \times B$ -equivariant derived category of mixed constructible $\mathbb{k}$ -sheaves on G (see, for example [SGA73], [Del77], [BBD82] and [BL94]), often referred to as the **Hecke category**. There is a convolution product on $D_{B \times B}^b(G)$ which we denote by $\star$ (see [Spr82]). We fix a square root $q^{1/2}$ of q in $\mathbb{k}$, which allows us to define a square root $(1/2)$ of the Tate twist (1) . We denote by $\langle m \rangle$ the shift-twist functor $[m](m/2)$ (note that $\langle m \rangle$ preserves weight).

Definition 3. Let $\mathbf{IC}_w$ denote the intersection cohomology complex corresponding to the $B \times B$ orbit $B\dot{w}B$, normalized so that the restriction of $\mathbf{IC}_w$ to $B\dot{w}B$ is $\underline{\mathbb{k}}_{B\dot{w}B} \langle \ell(w)/2 \rangle$.

That is, we normalize intersection cohomology complexes so that the induced sheaf on G/B is perverse and pure of weight 0.

Finally, let $D_{B \times B}(G)_0$ denote the subcategory of $D_{B \times B}^b(G)$ consisting of objects isomorphic to direct sums of $\mathbf{IC}_w \langle m \rangle$ for $w \in W_\Gamma$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{B \times B}(G)_0$ its split Grothendieck group. We have:

- The category $D_{B \times B}(G)_0$ is preserved under convolution (by the Decomposition theorem), and $\star$ induces a ring structure on $\mathcal{K}_{B \times B}(G)_0$.
- The assignment $q^{1/2} \mapsto \langle -1 \rangle$ makes $\mathcal{K}_{B \times B}(G)_0$ into an algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[q^{1/2}, q^{-1/2}]$.

The following result is well-known:

Theorem 4 (See [Spr82]). *The Hecke algebra $\mathbf{H}_\Gamma$ can be identified with $\mathcal{K}_{B \times B}(G)_0$. Under this identification the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis element C'_w corresponds to the class of the intersection cohomology complex $[\mathbf{IC}_w]$.*

For example, if s is a simple reflection, then $C'_s = \sigma_s + q^{-1/2}$ corresponds to $\underline{\mathbb{k}}_{P_s} \langle 1 \rangle$, the shift-twist of the constant sheaf on the minimal parabolic subgroup $P_s = \overline{BsB}$.

The relationship between these sheaves and the Hecke algebra was an important ingredient in the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture [KL79, KL80].

Alternatively, one can consider the $B \times B$ -equivariant cohomology of $\mathbf{IC}_w$. This is an indecomposable **Soergel bimodule** $\mathbb{H}_{B \times B}^*(G; \mathbf{IC}_w) = S_w$ (for more background on Soergel bimodules and their connections to geometry, see the original paper [Soe92] of Soergel or authors' earlier paper [WW08]).

4. A categorified trace. Let $B_\Delta \subset B \times B$ be the diagonal subgroup. Then we can associate to $\mathbf{IC}_w$ and S_w bigraded vector spaces $\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(\mathbf{IC}_w)$ and $HH^*(S_w)$, the B_Δ -equivariant cohomology and Hochschild homology respectively.

The bigrading on $HH^*(S_a)$ is easy to describe: one grading, which we call the **t -grading**, is given by the homological grading on HH^* and one is given by the fact that S_a is a graded bimodule over polynomials, with linear polynomials in degree 2.

For reasons of knot theory, we follow the convention of [MSV] with respect to the grading on Hochschild homology: we normalize the gradings so that the differential on Hochschild homology has bidegree $(1, 1)$.

The bigrading on $\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(\mathbf{IC}_w)$ is of geometric origin, but less obvious than the algebraic grading. What we must use is the weight grading on cohomology. By previous work of the authors [WW08, Theorem 1.4], there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(\mathbf{IC}_w) \cong HH^*(S_w)$, which sends the q -grading to the usual cohomological grading and the t -grading to the weight plus cohomological grading, i.e. that given by the norm of the eigenvalue of Frobenius.

Thus, we let $\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^{ij}(G; \mathbf{IC}_w)$ be subspace of $\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^i(G; \mathbf{IC}_w)$ of weight j . In all situations encountered below, Frobenius will always act semi-simply via powers of our fixed $q^{1/2}$ and hence $\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^{ij}(G; \mathbf{IC}_w)$ is equal to the $q^{i/2}$ -eigenspace of $\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^i(G; \mathbf{IC}_w)$ for the action of Frobenius.

Definition 5. Given a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in D_{B_\Delta}^b(G)$, its **mixed Poincaré series** is

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}(q, t) = \dim_{q,t} \mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(G; \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i,j} \dim \mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^{ij}(G; \mathcal{F}) q^{i/2} t^j.$$

We will always consider equivariant mixed Poincaré polynomials, and only specify the group if there is danger of confusion. Note that

$$(1) \quad P_{\mathcal{F}_{\langle -i \rangle}}(q, t) = q^{i/2} P_{\mathcal{F}}(q, t).$$

As before, Γ is a Dynkin diagram, and we let $\Gamma' = \Gamma - \{v\}$ for a single vertex v , and $\iota : \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma'} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}$ is the induced inclusion.

Theorem 6. The linear extension of the function $\mathrm{Tr}_{\Gamma}(C'_w) = \dim_{q,t} \mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(\mathbf{IC}_w) = P_{\mathbf{IC}_w}(q, t)$ only depends on the root system of G and is a trace on $\mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}$. Furthermore, for each vertex v , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{\emptyset}(1) &= 1 & \mathrm{Tr}_{\Gamma}(\sigma_v \iota(a)) &= -t \cdot \mathrm{Tr}_{\Gamma'}(a) \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{\Gamma}(\iota(a)) &= \frac{1 + q^{1/2}t}{1 - q} \cdot \mathrm{Tr}_{\Gamma'}(a) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\Gamma}(\sigma_v^{-1} \iota(a)) &= q^{-1/2} \cdot \mathrm{Tr}_{\Gamma'}(a) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $\Gamma = A_n$, this trace coincides with that of Jones-Ocneanu. Furthermore, in the case where $\Gamma = B_n, C_n, D_n$, it is the trace Tr_{Γ}^t given in Proposition 2, where $y = -t$.

This establishes that this trace pulled back to the braid group provides a knot invariant (up to normalization), called the HOMFLYPT polynomial in the type A case, and an invariant of knots in the solid torus in type B , by the “cylindrical Markov theorem” (see [GL97]).

Proof of Theorem 6. We first show that our trace only depends on the root system of the semi-simple group G , and not on its root datum. To this end let

$$\phi : \widetilde{G} \rightarrow G$$

denote a central isogeny and set $\widetilde{B} := \phi^{-1}(B)$. We denote by $\widetilde{\mathbf{IC}}_w$ the intersection cohomology complex on $\widetilde{G}$, normalized as in Definition 3. We claim there is a canonical

isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(G, \mathbf{IC}_w) \cong \mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{\mathbf{IC}}_w).$$

First note that (ϕ^*, ϕ_*) give an equivalence

$$D_{B \times B}^b(\widetilde{G}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{B \times B}^b(G)$$

and hence the adjunction morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \phi_* \phi^* \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism for any $\mathcal{F} \in D_{B \times B}^b(G)$. It follows that

$$\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(G, \mathbf{IC}_w) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(\widetilde{G}, \phi^* \mathbf{IC}_w) = \mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{\mathbf{IC}}_w)$$

Lastly $\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(G, \mathbf{IC}_w) = \mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(G, \mathbf{IC}_w)$ because $K = \ker \phi$ is a finite group and our coefficients are of characteristic zero.

Our definition yields a trace since for sheaves $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}' \in D_{B \times B}^b(G)$ we have

$$\mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(G; \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{F}') \cong \mathbb{H}_{B \times B}^*(G \times G; \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{F}') \cong \mathbb{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(G; \mathcal{F}' \star \mathcal{F}).$$

where the $B \times B$ -action on $G \times G$ in the equation above is given by

$$(b_1, b_2) \cdot (g_1, g_2) = (b_1 g_1 b_2^{-1}, b_2 g_2 b_1^{-1}).$$

It follows that $\text{Tr}_\emptyset(1) = 1$ since if $\Gamma = \emptyset$ then G is the trivial group.

It remains to verify the behaviour of our trace under an inclusion ι . To do this we give a geometric interpretation of ι . By the above considerations we may assume that G is of adjoint type. Now let $\Delta \subset R$ denote the based root system of (G, B, T) . The inclusion $\Gamma \subset \Gamma'$ determines a based root system $\Delta' \subset R'$ with an inclusion into $\Delta \subset R$ such that $\Delta = \Delta' \cup \{\alpha\}$ where α is the simple root corresponding to the new vertex v of Γ .

Now let G' denote the closed subgroup of G generated by root subgroups and cocharacters corresponding to roots in R' , and let $\widetilde{G}' = G'T$. Then G' is a semi-simple algebraic group with root system R' and $\widetilde{G}' \cong G' \times \mathbb{G}_m$, because we have assumed that G is adjoint. If we set

$$T' := T \cap G', \quad \widetilde{T}' := T, \quad B' := B \cap G' \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{B}' := B \cap \widetilde{G}'$$

then T' and $\widetilde{T}'$ (respectively B' and $\widetilde{B}'$) are split maximal tori (respectively Borel subgroups) of G' and $\widetilde{G}'$.

The projection and inclusion maps

$$G' \xleftarrow{p} \widetilde{G}' \xrightarrow{i} G$$

induce functors

$$p^*: D_{B' \times B'}^b(G) \rightarrow D_{\widetilde{B}' \times \widetilde{B}'}^b(G') \quad \text{and} \quad i_*: D_{\widetilde{B}' \times \widetilde{B}'}^b(G') \rightarrow D_{\widetilde{B}' \times \widetilde{B}'}^b(G).$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{I}_* : D_{B' \times B'}^b(G') &\longrightarrow D_{B \times B}^b(G) \\ \mathcal{F} &\longmapsto \text{ind}_{\widetilde{B}' \times \widetilde{B}'}^{B \times B} i_* p^* \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

If $w' \in W_{\Gamma'}$ and w denotes its image under the natural inclusion $W_{\Gamma'} \hookrightarrow W_{\Gamma}$ then one has $\mathfrak{I}_*(\mathbf{IC}_{w'}) \cong \mathbf{IC}_w$ because $B/\widetilde{B'}$ is isomorphic to an affine space. Hence $\mathfrak{I}_*$ categorifies the inclusion $\iota : \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma'} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}_{\Gamma}$ under the identifications in Theorem 4.

Now, let $P \subset G$ be the minimal standard parabolic corresponding to $\alpha \in \Delta$. Given $\mathcal{F} \in D_{B' \times B}^b(G')_0$ it remains to calculate the Poincaré series of the cohomology groups

$$\mathbb{H}_{B_{\Delta}}^*(\underline{\mathbb{k}}_B \star \mathfrak{I}_* \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{H}_{B_{\Delta}}^*(\mathfrak{I}_* \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{H}_{B_{\Delta}}^*(\underline{\mathbb{k}}_P \star \mathfrak{I}_* \mathcal{F})$$

in terms of $\mathbb{H}_{B'_{\Delta}}^*(\mathcal{F})$. It will be easier to work instead with T_{Δ} -equivariant cohomology, which is equivalent because B_{Δ}/T_{Δ} is isomorphic to an affine space.

First note that, as $\widetilde{G'} \cong G' \times G_m$ we have, by the Künneth formula,

$$(2) \quad \mathbb{H}_{T_{\Delta}}^*(\mathfrak{I}_* \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{H}_{T_{\Delta}}^*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbb{H}_{G_m}^*(G_m)$$

(where G_m acts trivially on G_m in the second factor).

It remains to calculate $\mathbb{H}_{B_{\Delta}}^*(\underline{\mathbb{k}}_P \star \mathfrak{I}_* \mathcal{F})$. Set $Z := (\ker \alpha)^0$ and let $L = C_G(Z)$ be its centralizer in G . We can write $T \cong Z \times T_v$, with $G_m \cong T_v$ via the fundamental coweight corresponding to α (which belongs to the cocharacter lattice because G is of adjoint type).

Now we have a Levi decomposition $P = LU$, where U denotes the unipotent radical of P . Hence we have a $T \times T$ -equivariant map $P \rightarrow L$ with fibers isomorphic to affine spaces. Moreover, $H := L/Z$ is a semi-simple group of rank 1. It follows that we have an acyclic $T \times T$ -equivariant map

$$P \times_T \widetilde{G'} \rightarrow H \times G'.$$

Moreover, the induced conjugation actions of Z (resp. T_v) on H (resp. G') are trivial.

Hence

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{H}_{T_{\Delta}}^*(G, \underline{\mathbb{k}}_P \star \mathfrak{I}_* \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathbb{H}_{(T_v)_{\Delta}}^*(H) \otimes \mathbb{H}_{Z_{\Delta}}^*(G', \mathcal{F})$$

Taking Poincaré series in the equations (2,3), we get the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\iota(a)) &= \dim_{q,t} \mathbb{H}_{B_{\Delta}}^*(\mathfrak{I}_* \mathcal{F}) = \frac{1 + q^{1/2}t}{1 - q} \text{Tr}(a) \\ \text{Tr}(C'_v \iota(a)) &= \dim_{q,t} \mathbb{H}_{T_{\Delta}}^*(G, \underline{\mathbb{k}}_P \star \mathfrak{I}_* \mathcal{F} \langle 1 \rangle) = \frac{q^{-1/2} + qt}{1 - q} \text{Tr}(a) \end{aligned}$$

This establishes one of our conditions. For the others, we first observe that $\sigma_v = C'_v - q^{-1/2}$ and $\sigma_v^{-1} = C'_v - q^{1/2}$. This shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\sigma_v \iota(a)) &= \frac{qt - t}{1 - q} \cdot \text{Tr}(a) & \text{Tr}(\sigma_v^{-1} \iota(a)) &= \frac{q^{-1/2} - q^{1/2}}{1 - q} \cdot \text{Tr}(a) \\ &= -t \cdot \text{Tr}(a) & &= q^{-1/2} \cdot \text{Tr}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we must establish our claim that

$$\text{Tr}_{B_{n+1}}(T_n \iota(a)) = -t \cdot \text{Tr}_{B_n}(a)$$

This follows from Corollary 13, which shows that our trace coincides with that considered by Gomi and from [Gom06, §4.4 & 4.5] where Gomi shows that his trace

corresponds to that constructed by Geck and Lambropoulou, which has this property by [GL97, Proposition 4.5]. $\square$

Question 1. The above proof uses the Gomi's algebraic calculations to deduce that our trace satisfies all the conditions in Definition 2 for $y = -t$. We do not know whether the extra conditions in types B , C and D have a geometric explanation.

5. Character sheaves and character expansions. Any trace on a semi-simple algebra can be expanded as a direct sum of the traces on irreducible representations, i.e. as a sum of irreducible characters. A formula for this was given in type A by Ocneanu [Wen88], in type B by Orellana [Ore99], and in arbitrary type by Gomi [Gom06]. Using our geometric perspective, we can give a much simpler proof than that of [Gom06], which depended on case-by-case analysis.

Our proof is founded on the fact that the expansion of the Hecke algebra as a sum of matrix algebras has a geometric manifestation: given a simple perverse sheaf $\mathbf{IC}_w$, we can apply the “averaging” functor $\text{ind}_{B_\Delta}^{G_\Delta} : D_{B_\Delta}^b(G) \rightarrow D_{G_\Delta}^b(G)$. Since G/B is projective, each summand of $\mathbf{K}_w = \text{ind}_{B_\Delta}^{G_\Delta} \mathbf{IC}_w$ is a shift of a simple perverse sheaf by the Decomposition Theorem.

Definition 7. A simple perverse sheaf in $D_{G_\Delta}^b(G)$ is called a **unipotent character sheaf** if it appears as a summand of $\mathbf{K}_w$ for some w . Following [MS89, §5.2], we let $\widehat{G}(1)$ denote the set of unipotent character sheaves.

We choose our normalization so that each $\mathcal{V} \in \widehat{G}(1)$ extends a local system of weight 0 in degree 0. Let $\widehat{W}$ denote the set of irreducible characters of W . For each $\chi \in \widehat{W}$ there exists a unipotent character sheaf $\mathcal{V}_\chi \in \widehat{G}(1)$ whose restriction to the regular semi-simple elements of G is a local system with monodromy given by χ . Let us denote by $\widehat{G}(1)_{\text{ex}}$ those character sheaves in $\widehat{G}(1)$ which are not isomorphic to a character sheaf of the form $\mathcal{V}_\chi$ for $\chi \in \widehat{W}$.

Since induction is adjoint to restriction, we have a natural isomorphism

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{H}_{G_\Delta}^*(G; \mathbf{K}_w) \cong \mathbf{H}_{B_\Delta}^*(G; \mathbf{IC}_w).$$

One might hope that the decomposition of $\mathbf{K}_w$ into simple character sheaves categorifies the formula expressing the trace of C'_w in terms of the irreducible characters of the Hecke algebra. If this were the case then, by (4) we could write the weights of our trace in terms of the mixed Poincaré polynomials of simple character sheaves. We will see below that this is true after performing Lusztig's Fourier transform.

For $\mathcal{V} \in \widehat{G}(1)$, we let $P_{\mathcal{V}}(q, t)$ denote the G_Δ -equivariant mixed Poincaré polynomial of $\mathcal{V}$. Let $\{\chi', \chi\}$ be the matrix coefficients of Lusztig's Fourier transform.

Proposition 8. The weight ω_χ of the character χ in Tr is

$$\omega_\chi = \sum_{\chi' \in \widehat{W}} \{\chi', \chi\} \cdot P_{\mathcal{V}_{\chi'}}(q, t)$$

Proof. For the course of the proof consider $\mathcal{S}$ the split Grothendieck group of $D_{G_\Delta}^b(G)$. If $\mathcal{F} \in D_{G_\Delta}^b(G)$ we denote by $[\mathcal{F}]$ its class in $\mathcal{S}$ and, given a polynomial $a = a_i q^{i/2} \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$

we set

$$a \cdot [\mathcal{F}] := \sum_i a_i [\mathcal{F} \langle -i \rangle] \in \mathcal{S}.$$

In this notation, combining Lusztig's formula [Lus85c, 14.11] and [Lus86, 23.1] we obtain

$$(5) \quad [\mathbf{K}_w] = \sum_{\chi, \chi' \in \widehat{W}} \chi_q(C'_w) \{\chi', \chi\} \cdot [\mathcal{V}_{\chi'}] + \sum_{\mathcal{V} \in \widehat{G}(1)_{ex}} a_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot [\mathcal{V}].$$

Some remarks are in order about why our formula is equivalent to Lusztig's:

- Firstly, note that for Lusztig's objects $\bar{K}_w$ we have $\bar{K}_w \langle \ell(w) \rangle \cong \mathbf{K}_w$ and Lusztig normalizes character sheaves so as to be perverse.
- Secondly, note that [Lus85c, 14.11] is an equality after forgetting mixed structures, however this can be lifted to the mixed setting by using the fact that $\mathbf{K}_w$ is pure of weight zero.
- Thirdly, the sign $\epsilon_{\mathcal{V}_{\chi}}$ (see [Lus85c, 13.10]) is equal to $(-1)^{\dim G}$ as A_{χ} occurs as a direct summand of the Springer sheaf $\mathbf{K}_{id}$.

We show in Proposition 10 below that the G_{Δ} -equivariant cohomology of any $\mathcal{V} \in \widehat{G}(1)_{ex}$ is zero. Hence, applying hypercohomology to (5) we obtain (note also (1))

$$\mathrm{Tr}(C'_w) = P_{\mathbf{K}_w}(q, t) = P_{\mathbf{K}_w}(q, t) = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{W}} \chi_q(C'_w) \left(\sum_{\chi' \in \widehat{W}} \{\chi', \chi\} \cdot P_{\mathcal{V}_{\chi'}}(q, t) \right)$$

and the proposition follows. $\square$

Thus, the primary point remaining to us is the computation of the mixed Poincaré polynomial $P_{\mathcal{V}}(q, t)$. Our first step is to show that a number of character sheaves have trivial cohomology.

If $L \subset G$ is the Levi of a parabolic, then there are adjoint **parabolic restriction** and **parabolic induction** functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathrm{Res}_L^G & \\ D_{L_{\Delta}}^b(L) & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & D_{G_{\Delta}}^b(G) \\ & \mathrm{Ind}_L^G & \end{array}$$

relating the conjugation-equivariant derived categories of these groups, defined by Lusztig [Lus85a]. These functors are different from the usual restriction and induction functors on equivariant derived categories, which we write uncapitalized.

For each character sheaf $\mathcal{V}$, there is up to conjugacy a unique minimal Levi $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ such that $\mathcal{V}$ is a summand of $\mathrm{Ind}_L^G \mathcal{V}'$ where $\mathcal{V}'$ is a strongly cuspidal character sheaf on L . This is also the minimal Levi for which $\mathrm{Res}_L^G \mathcal{V} \neq 0$.

In the equivariant derived category $D_{G_{\Delta}}(G)$, these Levi subgroups index a block decomposition of the category generated by character sheaves. That is,

Proposition 9. *If $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}$ are character sheaves and $\mathrm{Ext}_{G_{\Delta}}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \neq \{0\}$, then $L_{\mathcal{V}}$ is conjugate to $L_{\mathcal{W}}$.*

In particular, if $L_{\mathcal{V}} \neq T$, then $\mathbb{H}_{G_{\Delta}}^(G; \mathcal{V}) = \{0\}$ and $P_{\mathcal{V}}(q, t) = 0$.*

Proof. This was proved in the non-equivariant situation by Lusztig in [Lus85b, 7.2], and the equivariant result follows immediately from the existence of the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}^q(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}) \otimes H_{G_\Delta}^p(pt) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{G_\Delta}^{p+q}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}). \quad \square$$

One simple corollary of Proposition 9 is that:

Theorem 10. *Any unipotent character sheaf is G_Δ -equivariantly formal.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{V}$ is induced from a cuspidal sheaf on a non-abelian Levi, then by Proposition 9, $\mathbb{H}_{G_\Delta}^*(\mathcal{V}) = 0$, so $\mathcal{V}$ is equivariantly formal.

On the other hand, every character sheaf not covered by the previous assertion is a summand of $\mathbf{K}_1$, which is equivariantly formal, since $\mathbf{IC}_1 = \mathbb{k}_B$ is. $\square$

This allows us to answer definitively a question answered positively for type A in a previous paper of the authors [WW08].

Corollary 11. *For any G and any $w \in W$, the sheaf $\mathbf{IC}_w$ is B_Δ -equivariantly formal.*

We thank Hailong Dao for help with the following proof.

Proof. The sheaf $\mathbf{K}_w$ is equivariantly formal, since it is a sum of unipotent character sheaves. Thus the cohomology $H_{B_\Delta}^*(\mathbf{IC}_w) = H_{G_\Delta}^*(\mathbf{K}_w)$ is free as a module over $H^*(BG_\Delta)$; we wish to conclude that it is free as a module over the larger ring $H^*(BB_\Delta)$.

This follows from standard arguments in commutative algebra: since $\text{Spec } H^*(BB_\Delta)$ is smooth, it suffices to show that the depth of the stalk at each point is the dimension of the variety. On the other hand, this follows immediately from Proposition 1.2.16 in [BH93], and the fact that both $H^*(BB_\Delta)$ and $H^*(BG_\Delta)$ are smooth and thus Cohen-Macaulay. $\square$

6. Character sheaves and Molien series. Proposition 9 shows that in equation (8), we need only sum over the sheaves that appear as summands of $\mathbf{K}_1$, since the trivial local system is the only unipotent character sheaf on T . Let us give a more careful description of these sheaves.

Consider the variety $\widetilde{G} = G_\Delta \times_{B_\Delta} B$ and let $c : G_\Delta \times_{B_\Delta} B \rightarrow G$ be the map induced by $(g, t) \mapsto gtg^{-1}$. A unipotent character sheaf is induced from T if and only if it is a summand of $\mathbf{K}_1 = c_* \mathbb{k}_{\widetilde{G}}$.

It is known that the map $\widetilde{G} \rightarrow G$ is small, and so the sheaf $\mathbf{K}_1$ is the intermediate extension of a local system on the regular semi-simple locus G_{reg} , since $\widetilde{G}_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow G_{\text{reg}}$ is a Galois covering with Galois group W . Because intermediate extension preserves endomorphism rings,

$$\text{End}(\mathbf{K}_1) = \text{End}_{\mathbb{k}W}(\mathbb{k}W) = \mathbb{k}W.$$

Thus, the isomorphism types of simple summands which appear are in canonical bijection with simple representations of W . Given an irreducible character $\nu \in \widehat{W}$ recall that we denote by $\mathcal{V}_\nu$ the corresponding unipotent character sheaf.

Set $\mathfrak{t}^* = X(T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{k}$, where $X(T)$ denotes the lattice of characters of T . Note that $\mathfrak{t}^*$ is a W -module in a natural way, and set $E = \text{Sym}^\bullet(\mathfrak{t}^*) \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{t}^*$, which we may view as a W -module with the tensor product action. We have a canonical identification $E \cong H_{T_\Delta}^*(T)$.

The geometric realization of this algebra equips it with a bigrading by degree and weight as in Section 4. Given an irreducible character $\nu \in \widehat{W}$ the graded dimension

$$M_\nu(q, t) = \sum_{i,j} q^{i/2} t^{j-i} \dim \operatorname{Hom}_W(V_\nu, E)^{i,j}$$

is called the **Molien series** of ν .

Proposition 12. $H_{G_\Delta}^\bullet(\mathcal{V}_\nu) = \operatorname{Hom}_W(V_\nu, E)$.

Proof. Because $K_1 = c_* \mathbb{k}_{\widetilde{G}}$ we have canonical isomorphisms

$$(6) \quad H_{G_\Delta}^\bullet(\mathbf{K}_1) \cong H_G^\bullet(\widetilde{G}) \cong H_{B_\Delta}^\bullet(B) \cong H_T^\bullet(pt) \otimes H^\bullet(T) \cong E.$$

The W -action on $\mathbf{K}_1$ induces a W -action on E such that with this induced action

$$H_{G_\Delta}^\bullet(\mathcal{V}_\nu, E) = \operatorname{Hom}_W(V_\nu, E).$$

Thus, we need only check that the induced W -action on E via the the isomorphisms (6) is the natural action. Since W acts by algebra homomorphisms, it suffices to check this on H^1 and on pure classes in H^2 . To this end, note that the restriction map

$$(7) \quad H_G^\bullet(\widetilde{G}) \rightarrow H_G^\bullet(\widetilde{G}_{reg})$$

is W -equivariant, where the W -action on $H_G(\widetilde{G}_{reg})$ is induced by the deck transformations of the covering $\widetilde{G}_{reg} \rightarrow G_{reg}$. We claim that the restriction map (7) is an injection on H^1 and an isomorphism on the subspace of pure classes.

We may identify $\widetilde{G}_{reg} = G/T \times T_{reg}$. Under this identification the W -action by deck transformations is given by $w \cdot (gT, t) = (g\dot{w}^{-1}T, \dot{w}t\dot{w}^{-1})$. Furthermore the G -action on T_{reg} is trivial and hence

$$(8) \quad H_G^\bullet(\widetilde{G}_{reg}) = H_G^\bullet(G/T) \otimes H^\bullet(T_{reg}) \cong H_T^\bullet(pt) \otimes H^\bullet(T_{reg}).$$

It is straightforward to check that under the isomorphisms (6) and (8) the restriction map (7) is the tensor product of the identity on $H_T^\bullet(pt)$ and the restriction map $H^\bullet(T) \rightarrow H^\bullet(T_{reg})$ on ordinary cohomology.

Now T_{reg} is obtained from the smooth variety T by removing a divisor, and so $H^\bullet(T) \rightarrow H^\bullet(T_{reg})$ is injective on H^1 and there are no pure classes in positive degree in either group. Hence the pure classes in both (6) and (8) consists of the image of $H_T^\bullet(pt)$. It follows that (7) is injective on H^1 and an isomorphism on pure classes as claimed.

Finally, given the above description of the action of W on $G/T \times T_{reg}$ one may conclude that the induced action of W on (8) is the tensor product of the natural action of W on $H_T(pt) = \operatorname{Sym}^\bullet(t^*)$ and action induced by conjugation on $H^\bullet(T_{reg})$. The proposition then follows. $\square$

This geometric realization of the Molien series allows us to arrive at the main theorem of [Gom06] by a very different route.

Corollary 13. *For all characters $v \in \widehat{W}$, we have $M_v(q, t) = P_{\mathbf{v}_v}(q, t)$. In particular, by Proposition 8, it follows that*

$$\mathrm{Tr}(x) = \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{W}} \chi_q(x) \cdot \{\chi, v\} \cdot M_v(q, t).$$

Remark 2. The above identification of the cohomology of a character sheaf with a multiplicity space reflects a deeper fact about the structure of G_Δ -equivariant sheaves on G : by an extension of the arguments above, one can construct a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}_{G_\Delta}^\bullet(\mathbf{K}_1) \cong \mathbb{k}[W] \# E$ as bigraded algebras. This provides an equivariant version of a theorem of Ginzburg [Gin93, Theorem 8.1].

REFERENCES

- [BBD82] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), Astérisque, vol. 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982, pp. 5–171.
- [BH93] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. MR MR1251956 (95h:13020)
- [BL94] Joseph Bernstein and Valery Lunts, *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1578, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [Del77] P. Deligne, *Cohomologie étale*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 569, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4^{1/2}, Avec la collaboration de J. F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier.
- [FYH⁺85] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W. B. R. Lickorish, K. Millett, and A. Ocneanu, *A new polynomial invariant of knots and links*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **12** (1985), no. 2, 239–246.
- [Gec98] Meinolf Geck, *Trace functions on Iwahori-Hecke algebras*, Knot theory (Warsaw, 1995), Banach Center Publ., vol. 42, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 1998, pp. 87–109.
- [Gin93] Victor Ginzburg, *Induction and restriction of character sheaves*, I. M. Gel’fand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math., vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 149–167.
- [GL97] Meinolf Geck and Sofia Lambropoulou, *Markov traces and knot invariants related to Iwahori-Hecke algebras of type B*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **482** (1997), 191–213.
- [Gom06] Yasushi Gomi, *The Markov traces and the Fourier transforms*, J. Algebra **303** (2006), no. 2, 566–591.
- [Jon87] V. F. R. Jones, *Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2) **126** (1987), no. 2, 335–388.
- [Kho07] Mikhail Khovanov, *Triply-graded link homology and Hochschild homology of Soergel bimodules*, Internat. J. Math. **18** (2007), no. 8, 869–885.
- [KL79] David Kazhdan and George Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), no. 2, 165–184.
- [KL80] ———, *Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality*, Geometry of the Laplace operator (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXVI, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980, pp. 185–203.
- [KR08] Mikhail Khovanov and Lev Rozansky, *Matrix factorizations and link homology. II*, Geom. Topol. **12** (2008), no. 3, 1387–1425.
- [Lus85a] G. Lusztig, *Character sheaves, I*, Adv. Math. **56** (1985), 193–237.
- [Lus85b] ———, *Character sheaves, II*, Adv. Math. **57** (1985), 226–265.
- [Lus85c] ———, *Character sheaves, III*, Adv. Math. **57** (1985), 266–315.
- [Lus86] ———, *Character sheaves, V*, Adv. Math. **61** (1986), 103–155.
- [MS89] J. G. M. Mars and T. A. Springer, *Character sheaves*, Astérisque **173-174** (1989), 111–198.
- [MSV] Marco Mackaay, Marko Stosic, and Pedro Vaz, *The 1,2-coloured HOMFLY-PT link homology*, arXiv:0809.0193.

- [Ore99] R. C. Orellana, *Weights of Markov traces on Hecke algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **508** (1999), 157–178.
- [PT88] Józef H. Przytycki and Paweł Traczyk, *Invariants of links of Conway type*, Kobe J. Math. **4** (1988), no. 2, 115–139.
- [Ras] Jacob Rasmussen, *Some differentials on Khovanov-Rozansky homology*.
- [SGA73] *Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale des schémas. Tome 3*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 305, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963–1964 (SGA 4), Dirigé par M. Artin, A. Grothendieck et J. L. Verdier. Avec la collaboration de P. Deligne et B. Saint-Donat. MR MR0354654 (50 #7132)
- [Soe92] Wolfgang Soergel, *The combinatorics of Harish-Chandra bimodules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **429** (1992), 49–74.
- [Spr82] T. A. Springer, *Quelques applications de la cohomologie d’intersection*, Bourbaki Seminar, Vol. 1981/1982, Astérisque, vol. 92, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982, pp. 249–273. MR MR689533 (85i:32016b)
- [Wen88] Hans Wenzl, *Hecke algebras of type A_n and subfactors*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), no. 2, 349–383.
- [WW] Ben Webster and Geordie Williamson, *A geometric construction of colored HOMFLYPT homology*, arXiv:0905.0486.
- [WW08] ———, *A geometric model for Hochschild homology of Soergel bimodules*, Geom. Topol. **12** (2008), no. 2, 1243–1263.